

The local behaviour of some additive functions

Jonas ŠIAULYS, Gediminas STEPANAUSKAS

e-mail: jonas.siaulys@mif.vu.lt, gediminas.stepanauskas@maf.vu.lt

Introduction

Let f_x , $x \geq 3$, be the set of strongly additive integer-valued functions, and $\nu_x(n \in A_x)$ be the frequency of natural numbers not exceeding x and satisfying the condition A_x . In this paper we will consider the local limiting behaviour of

$$\nu_x(f_x(n) = k), \quad (1)$$

when x tends to infinity. If

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{\substack{p \leq x \\ f_x(p) \neq 0}} \frac{1}{p} = \infty, \quad (2)$$

then the quantity (1) is usually approximating by the frequency of standard normal distribution, because the condition (2) guarantee virtually the vanishing of the rest in such approximation (see [1], [2], [3]). We give the typical example.

Theorem 1 ([3]). *Suppose f is a strongly additive integer-valued function,*

$$A_x = \sum_{p \leq x} \frac{f(p)}{p}, \quad B_x = \left(\sum_{p \leq x} \frac{f^2(p)}{p} \right)^{1/2},$$

$$\delta_x = \frac{\max_{p \leq x} |f(p)|}{B_x}, \quad \max_{p \in \tilde{\mathbb{P}}} |f(p)| = M,$$

where $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$ is some subset of primes for which

$$\sum_{p \notin \tilde{\mathbb{P}}} \frac{1}{p} \ll 1.$$

Suppose further that

$$\text{g.c.d.} \left\{ b : \sum_{f(p)=b} \frac{1}{p} = \infty \right\} = 1.$$

Then there exists the positive constant c_1 such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \left| B_x \nu_x(f(n) = k) - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{(k - A_x)^2}{2B_x^2} \right\} \right| \\ & \ll \delta_x + \sum_{\substack{q \leq M \\ q \text{ prime}}} \exp \left\{ -c_1 \sum_{\substack{p \leq x \\ f(p) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{q}}} \frac{1}{p} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

If the condition (2) is satisfied, then the approximation of the quantity (1) by the frequency of the normal distribution has no sense, because the rest in such approximation does not tend to zero. In similar cases we have to look for another distributions for the main term of (1). It needs to take the distribution to which the distribution functions $F_x(u) = \nu_x(f_x(n) < u)$ converge weakly. The set of the limiting distributions $F_x(u)$ is rich enough even in the most simple cases. We will consider here the approximation of the quantity (1) by the Poisson distribution Π_λ in the case when $f_x(p) \in \{0, 1\}$ for all primes p . The following theorem on the weakly convergence is true.

Theorem 2 ([4]). *Suppose f_x , $x \geq 3$, is a set of strongly additive functions, $f_x(p) \in \{0, 1\}$ for all primes p . Then*

$$\nu_x(f_x(n) < u) \Rightarrow \Pi_\lambda(u)$$

if and only if

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \max_{\substack{p \leq x \\ f_x(p)=1}} \frac{1}{p} = 0, \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{\substack{p \leq x \\ f_x(p)=1}} \frac{1}{p} = \lambda, \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\ln x} \sum_{\substack{p \leq x \\ f_x(p)=1}} \frac{\ln p}{p} = 0. \quad (3)$$

So, if the conditions (3) are satisfied, the equality

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \nu_x(f_x(n) = k) = \frac{\lambda^k}{k!} e^{-\lambda}$$

holds for every fixed k . In the following theorem we will estimate the rate of convergence in the last equality.

Theorem 3. *Suppose f_x , $x \geq 3$, is a set of strongly additive functions, $f_x(p) \in \{0, 1\}$ for all primes p . Then*

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_1(\nu_x, \Pi_\lambda) & := \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0} \left| \nu_x(f_x(n) = k) - \frac{\lambda^k}{k!} e^{-\lambda} \right| \\ & \ll \min \left\{ 1, e^{2(\lambda + \Delta_x)} \left(\mu_x + \frac{1}{\ln x} \right) + \theta_x \lambda + \Delta_x \right\}, \quad (4) \\ \rho_2(\nu_x, \Pi_\lambda) & := \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left| \nu_x(f_x(n) = k) - \frac{\lambda^k}{k!} e^{-\lambda} \right|^2 \right)^{1/2} \end{aligned}$$

$$\ll \min \left\{ 1, e^{2(\lambda + \Delta_x)} \left(\mu_x + \frac{1}{\ln x} \right) + \theta_x \lambda + \Delta_x \right\}, \quad (5)$$

where

$$\theta_x = \max_{\substack{p \leq x \\ f_x(p)=1}} \frac{1}{p}, \quad \mu_x = \frac{1}{\ln x} \sum_{\substack{p \leq x \\ f_x(p)=1}} \frac{\ln p}{p}, \quad \Delta_x = \left| \lambda - \sum_{\substack{p \leq x \\ f_x(p)=1}} \frac{1}{p} \right|.$$

It can be easily seen that if the conditions of Theorem 2 are fulfilled then the values of $\rho_1(\nu_x, \Pi_\lambda)$ and $\rho_2(\nu_x, \Pi_\lambda)$ vanish, as x tends to infinity.

The proof of Theorem 3

The proof is based on one lemma about the distance between the distribution of the set of the additive integer-valued functions and the Poisson distribution.

Lemma ([5]). *Suppose f_x is the set of additive functions, $f_x(p) \in \mathbb{N}_0$ for all primes p , $a_x \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $x \geq 3$. Then for $i = 1, 2$*

$$\rho_i(\nu_x, \Pi_x) \ll \min \left\{ 1, \beta_x \left(\gamma_x + \frac{1}{\ln x} \right) + (E_x + a_x^2) \min \left\{ 1, \frac{1}{\lambda_x} \right\} \right\},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_x &= \sum_{p \leq x} F_{p1}, & E_x &= \sum_{p \leq x} (F_{p1}^2 + F_{p2}), \\ \beta_x &= \exp \left\{ 2 \sum_{\substack{p \leq x \\ f_x(p) \neq a_x}} \frac{1}{p} \right\}, & \gamma_x &= \frac{1}{\ln x} \sum_{\substack{p \leq x \\ f_x(p) \neq a_x}} \frac{\ln p}{p}, \\ F_{p1} &= \sum_{r=1}^{\gamma_p} f_x(p^r) \pi(p^r), & F_{p2} &= \sum_{r=1}^{\gamma_p} f_x(p^r) (f_x(p^r) - 1) \pi(p^r), \\ \pi(p^r) &= \begin{cases} \frac{1}{p^r} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p} \right) & \text{if } r = 0, 1, \dots, \gamma_p - 1, \\ \frac{1}{p^r} & \text{if } r = \gamma_p, \end{cases} & \gamma_p &= \left[\frac{\ln x}{\ln p} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Let $a_x = 0$. It is evident that

$$\begin{aligned} F_{p1} &= \frac{f_x(p)}{p} \quad \text{for } p \leq x, & F_{p2} &= 0, \\ \lambda_x &= \sum_{\substack{p \leq x \\ f_x(p)=1}} \frac{1}{p}, & E_x &= \sum_{\substack{p \leq x \\ f_x(p)=1}} \frac{1}{p^2} \leq \theta_x \lambda_x, \\ \beta_x &= \exp \left\{ 2 \sum_{\substack{p \leq x \\ f_x(p)=1}} \frac{1}{p} \right\} = e^{2\lambda_x}, & \gamma_x &= \frac{1}{\ln x} \sum_{\substack{p \leq x \\ f_x(p)=1}} \frac{\ln p}{p} = \mu_x. \end{aligned}$$

Hence from lemma we get

$$\rho_1(\nu_x, \Pi_{\lambda_x}) \ll \min \left\{ 1, e^{2(\lambda + \Delta_x)} \left(\mu_x + \frac{1}{\ln x} \right) + \theta_x \lambda + \theta_x \Delta_x \right\}.$$

Since $|e^z - 1| \leq |z|$, if $z \in \mathbb{C}$, $\Re z \leq 0$, and

$$\frac{\lambda^k}{k!} e^{-\lambda} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{itk} e^{\lambda(e^{it}-1)} dt, \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \dots,$$

in the case $\lambda \geq \lambda_x$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_1(\Pi_{\lambda_x}, \Pi_{\lambda}) &\ll \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left| e^{\lambda_x(e^{it}-1)} - e^{\lambda(e^{it}-1)} \right| dt \\ &= \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left| e^{(\lambda - \lambda_x)(e^{it}-1)} - 1 \right| dt \leq \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |\lambda - \lambda_x| |e^{it} - 1| dt \ll \Delta_x. \end{aligned}$$

If $\lambda < \lambda_x$, similarly

$$\rho_1(\Pi_{\lambda_x}, \Pi_{\lambda}) \ll \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left| e^{(\lambda - \lambda_x)(e^{it}-1)} - 1 \right| dt \ll \Delta_x.$$

The relation (4) follows from the obtained estimates and the triangle inequality for the distance ρ_1 .

It follows from lemma also that

$$\rho_2(\nu_x, \Pi_{\lambda_x}) \ll \min \left\{ 1, e^{2(\lambda + \Delta_x)} \left(\mu_x + \frac{1}{\ln x} \right) + \theta_x \lambda + \theta_x \Delta_x \right\}.$$

From the inequality $|e^z - 1| \leq |z|$, $z \in \mathbb{C}$, $\Re z \leq 0$, and the Parseval equality

$$\rho_2(\Pi_{\lambda_x}, \Pi_{\lambda}) = \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left| e^{\lambda_x(e^{it}-1)} - e^{\lambda(e^{it}-1)} \right|^2 dt \right)^{1/2}$$

we obtain that $\rho_2(\Pi_{\lambda_x}, \Pi_{\lambda}) \ll \Delta_x$. Using the triangle inequality again but for the distance ρ_2 , we get the desired estimate (5). The proof is complete now.

Examples

I. Let

$$f_x(p) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \ln x < p \leq \ln^2 x, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

From Theorem 3 we have

$$\rho_i(\nu_x, \Pi_{\ln 2}) \ll e^{-c_2 \sqrt{\ln \ln x}}, \quad i = 1, 2,$$

where c_2 is a positive constant.

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \# \{n \leq x : \text{the primes from } (\ln x, \ln^2 x] \text{ do not divide } n\} \\ &= x \left(\frac{1}{2} + O \left(e^{-c_2 \sqrt{\ln \ln x}} \right) \right), \\ \# \{n \leq x : n \text{ has exactly one prime divisor from } (\ln x, \ln^2 x]\} \\ &= x \left(\frac{\ln 2}{2} + O \left(e^{-c_2 \sqrt{\ln \ln x}} \right) \right). \end{aligned}$$

II. Let

$$f_x(p) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \ln \ln x < p \leq (\ln \ln x)^3, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

In this case from Theorem 3 we obtain

$$\rho_i(\nu_x, \Pi_{\ln 3}) \ll e^{-c_2 \sqrt{\ln \ln \ln x}}, \quad i = 1, 2.$$

Therefore similarly

$$\begin{aligned} \# \{n \leq x : \text{the primes from } (\ln \ln x, (\ln \ln x)^3] \text{ do not divide } n\} \\ &= x \left(\frac{1}{3} + O \left(e^{-c_2 \sqrt{\ln \ln \ln x}} \right) \right), \\ \# \{n \leq x : n \text{ has exactly two prime divisors from } (\ln \ln x, (\ln \ln x)^3]\} \\ &= x \left(\frac{\ln^2 3}{6} + O \left(e^{-c_2 \sqrt{\ln \ln \ln x}} \right) \right). \end{aligned}$$

References

- [1] И. Кубилюс, Об асимптотических локальных законах распределения арифметических функций, *Liet. matem. rink.*, **11**(4), 809–816 (1971).
- [2] G. Halász, On the distribution of additive and the mean values of multiplicative arithmetic functions, *Studia Scient. Math. Hung.*, **6**, 213–233 (1971).
- [3] Г. Стяпанаскас, Локальная предельная теорема для одного класса арифметических функций, *Liet. matem. rink.*, **20**(2), 159–174 (1980).
- [4] J. Šiaulyš, The von Mises theorem in number theory, In: *New Trends in Probability and Statistics*, Vol. **2**, F. Schweiger and E. Manstavičius (Eds), Utrecht/VSP, Vilnius/TEV (1992), pp. 293–310.
- [5] И. Шяулис, Целозначные аддитивные арифметические функции и распределение Пуассона, *Liet. matem. rink.*, **28**(2), 384–398 (1988).

Kai kurių aritmetinių funkcijų lokalusis elgesys

J. Šiaulyš, G. Stepanauskas

Darbe gauti lokaliosios ir l_2 metrikos įverčiai tarp adityviųjų funkcijų sekos skirstinio ir Пуассона skirstinio su pastoviu parametru.